## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

an

JAN 1 0 2006

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

S PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE 60ARE OF PATENT APPEALS ANE INTERFERENCES PATE BRIGITTE BENAGE, GERALD J. ABRUSCATO, ANDREW J. EISENSTEIN, KIRK A. SCHLUP, RUBEN S. GREWAL and BRENDAN J. GEELAN

Application No. 09/910,968

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on October 26, 2005. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below:

A review of the file indicates that on July 1, 2005, appellants filed an Appeal Brief under the rules set forth in 37 CFR § 1.192(c). However, the rules under 35 U.S.C. § 1.192(c) were abolished on September 13, 2004, and replaced by 37 CFR § 41.37(c). Accordingly, the Appeal Brief filed on July 1, 2005 does not comply with the new rules under 37 CFR § 41.37(c).

37 CFR § 41.37(c) states:

(c)(1) The brief shall contain the following items under appropriate headings and in the order indicated in paragraphs (c)(1)(I) through (c)(1)(x) of this section, except that a brief filed by an appellant who